# Appendix 2 Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

| Directorate: City Development | Service area: Asset Management and Regeneration |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Lead person: Sarbjit Kaur     | Contact number: 3787801                         |  |

| 1. Title: Right to Buy Replacement Programme: LATCH (Leeds Action to Create Homes) – Acquisition and Refurbishment of Six Properties |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is this a:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ategy / Policy vice / Function Other X   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If other, please specify: Right to Buy Replacement Funding grant funding request   |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

This report seeks approval and authority from the Chief Officer, Asset Management and Regeneration to approve and grant authority to spend of Right to Buy Replacement Programme funding to LATCH to purchase and refurbish 6 properties to provide affordable homes for people who are homeless or in housing need. The project will create a mixture of one and two bedroom homes. To meet the anticipated need LATCH are looking to develop a x mixture of two bedroom family homes for their clients and one bed homes for single homeless people. This profile may however change during the project in response to property availability and client need in the Chapel Allerton, Gipton and Harehills and Richmond Hill and Burmantofts Wards.

| Questions  | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?   |     | Х  |
| Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?  |     | Х  |
| Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?                                |     | Х  |
| Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?   |     | Χ  |
| Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on     Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment  Advancing aquality of appartunity. |     | X  |
| <ul><li>Advancing equality of opportunity</li><li>Fostering good relations</li></ul>   |     |    |

If you have answered no to the questions above please complete sections 6 and 7

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 5.

## 4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

The recommendations in this report have a number of positive equality outcomes. The primary focus is to provide additional Affordable Housing which will have a beneficial impact for socioeconomic equality groups.

### A) How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration?

(Think about: the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned – see Ai below) with those likely to be affected)

The proposal will deliver additional Affordable Housing it is envisaged that the developments will have positive implications for equality groups who are economically disadvantaged or in housing need.

#### B) Key findings

(Think about: any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

The development will have a positive impact on the local community therefore it does not require full EIA.

### C) Actions

(Think about: how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

| 5. If you are <b>not</b> already consi you <b>will need to carry out an</b> |                               | ty, di       | iversity, cohesion and integration |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Date to scope and plan your in  | npact assessment:             |              |                                    |  |  |
| Date to complete your impact a  | assessment                    |              |                                    |  |  |
| Lead person for your impact as (Include name and job title)                 | ssessment                     |              |                                    |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| 6. Governance, ownership ar   |                               |              | 6.0                                |  |  |
| Please state here who has app   |                               | ome          | s of the screening                 |  |  |
| Type of Decision being assertlesse tick as appropriate                      | ssea                          |              |                                    |  |  |
| <b>Key</b> (Incurring expenditure or  | making savings over £250.     | 000 <i>i</i> | oach voar                          |  |  |
|   | making savings over £250,0    | 000 (        | each year                          |  |  |
| □ and or outcome will have signif   | ficant effect on communities  | s lina       | in an area comprising two or more  |  |  |
| wards)  | noam chect on commanded       | ,9           | in an area comprising two or more  |  |  |
| Major (incurring expenditure or making savings over £100,000 per year)      |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| Significant Other (as Delegat   | ed Decision Making definition | on se        | et out in Pt 3 of Constitution)    |  |  |
|   | -                             |              |                                    |  |  |
| Administrative (not in conflict   | with approved policies and    | do n         | ot raise new issues of policy      |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| Name  | Job title                     |              | Date                               |  |  |
| Sarbjit Kaur  | Regeneration Officer          |              | June 2022                          |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| 7 5 18 18   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| 7. Publishing   | at an area described due non  | 6            | (a. a                              |  |  |
| •   |                               |              | to equality and diversity has been |  |  |
|   | ut an independent impact a    | sses         | sment the screening document will  |  |  |
| need to be published.   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| Date screening completed  |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| Date concerning completed   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| Date sent to Equality Team  |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
|   |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| Date published  |                               |              |                                    |  |  |
| (To be completed by the Equal   | ity Team)                     |              |                                    |  |  |